

+E0002 - Introducing the End of History

Welcome to The Choice - This is Curt Doolittle - Episode 2 - Introducing the End of History - European and Jewish Elites and their Opposing Strategies

The end of history is the political and philosophical proposition that a particular political, economic, and social system may constitute the end-point of humanity's sociocultural evolution and the final form of human government.

The end of history would require the discovery of the natural laws of human cooperation, from the interpersonal to the political, and their explanation of history and prediction of the future... just as the discovery of the first laws of the physical universe, would provide an explanation of the history and future of the physical universe. This is because both physical and natural laws should be finite. What we can do within those laws may not be - it may be infinite.

In future episodes of the Choice I'll explain that we had achieved the end of history over a century ago, and hostile parties are trying to reverse our achievement.

In today's episode, we'll discuss history as the masculine civilization's domestication of animal man, and the feminine civilization's resistance movements against it.

This discussion will introduce you to one of the great cycles of history and the competition between civilizations and their strategies.

To use a present example:

In a recent release of the Portal, Eric Weinstein interviews yet another guest and together they engage in eloquent and articulate gossip moralizing and psychologizing about the current state of affairs, which is Eric's default mode of speech, as that have seen with his other guests including his brother Brett, Tyler Cowen, and Sam Harris, and Anna Khachiyan, as they continue the tradition we saw in Mencius Moldbug's gossiping, psychologizing, and moralizing

It's easy to appear intelligent by making articulate complaints, yet never providing existentially and operationally possible, equally criticizable solutions to problems.

Criticizing by Gossiping, shaming, ridiculing, moralizing and psychologizing, is A form of self indulgent self congratulation by people who have earned no position of influence, earned no position no responsibility, earned no position of accountability, and produce no solutions subject to equal criticism

Gossiping shaming, ridiculing, moralizing and psychologizing emotionally endears an equally incompetent audience that also believes (falsely) that they are prosecuted

....rather than demanded to conform to high trust european ethics that makes their range of choices, and the virtue signaling they demonstrate with them, possible.

I mean, if you can't write a project plan, a contract, a law and its regulations and procedure, and a constitution you're just talking trash.

Whether in his academic research, his criticism of the academy, Eric never puts forward solutions other than the typical (female, marxist) "If I was in charge of Communism it would work". Well it won't work no matter who is in charge. And Eric would fail if he was in charge. And people like Eric who have tried to be in charge have failed.

Eric's recommendation is that 'if we had better people; if we had better humans; if we got our heads together;" which is female-speak I don't know how to do it but the men will fix it., or code for for consensus building in small groups "within the radius of empathy", and has nothing to do with the hierarchy duty and rules necessary to run large scale organizations.

This is what simpleton's, the ignorant, dinner gossip-circles, and unaccomplished academics say, not men of adversarial achievement, in the organization and operation and accomplishment of a vast body of people of various abilities and ranks, using limited resources, to maintain a competitive advantage, and their quality of life, over time.

Instead all underlying problems of politics are always the same: human organizations always follow incentives to evolve toward the maximum internal rent-seeking until they are unable to adapt to shocks, innovations, changes in trade routes, immigration(conquest) or war - and it's people like Eric that bloated those institutions with their non-european sense making and strategy in violation of European sense making and strategy.

Because it's people who think 'if only people like me were in charge they would not fall victim to following those same incentives'. Instead, the western solution in all things is to create competitive markets that suppress malincentives in order for individuals to survive despite their malincentives. And the west is the only population to do this in human history because we are the only people in human history to develop via-negativa markets for the punishment of the seizure of opportunities for reward using those malincentives using rule of law, applicable to all. So Eric illustrates the imaginations of women and those without responsibility, and civilizations that failed - like the jewish civilization, and islamic civilization - to develop formal institutions that suppress the seizure of malincentives through adversarial markets under the law's threat of punishment that is decided by empirical evidence, instead of consensus and that art of discourse the jews are fond of calling dialectic, and social construction, but the scientific description is sophistry and idealism of those who can afford to be sophomoric and ideal because there are men of achievement and

empirical reasoning somewhere running the place. The Jewish culture is governed as a prayer group or knitting circle which is why they cannot survive without host men to produce a society that they can parasitically survive upon despite their feminine cognition and social structure and absolute failure throughout history to develop masculine reason, empiricism, adversarialism, warranty for one's words, rule of law and institutions. There is reason Jews always fail and are always cast out. It's because they practice the female group strategy of parasitism on male manufactured order and commons until they accumulate sufficient capital to attempt to replace those empirical male commons with socially constructed parasitic female commons.

MASCULINE VS FEMININE

Every group relies on a group strategy, a mythology to explain it, a set of paradigms and grammars to teach, argue, and enforce it.

There are only three ways of coercing humans. So the spectrum of human strategies varies from the male violent and threat of punishment, the masculine trade and loss of opportunity, and the female undermining and threat of ostracization - and different weights to each. It's rather obvious why males and females evolved to specialize in them. The strong fight, the not as strong trade, and the weak undermine and ostracize.

Female means of control is by baiting: they seduce children, other women, and men with promises of affection, care, assistance, and sex on the one hand, and threat of losing those goods on the other, and the use of false promise to bait as many as possible into her control, delivering somewhere between what she can and as little as possible, so that they serve her at the lowest cost to her.

The purpose of gossip is to produce consensus on demands between those lacking agency - so that those with agency will be forced to satisfy them. In other words, academics like Eric gossip ridicule, moralize, psychologize, rally and shame because they don't inspire or accumulate loyalty, don't accumulate or inspire force, don't have anything to offer, and so don't have anything to trade, and so they are left with disapproval: gossiping, shaming, moralizing, un... as if he were somehow depriving us of sex, care, and affection, when all he and other gossips are doing is demanding they be satisfied or they'll devote their energy to continuous undermining.

Those that have agency do not use gossip. They use factual arguments. Because they have agency. As a general rule, Rulers rule, Philosophers complain to them, and theologians undermine them.

Eric doesn't grasp that the pride he holds in the Jewish

body of thought, is pride in the Jewish adoption of the female strategy of undermining masculine empires from within by Sophistry ("Pilpul") Undermining ("Critique", "Straw Manning", and "Gossiping, Shaming, Ridiculing, Rallying, Moralizing, Psychologizing" - what we call "GSRRM"), and empty straw-manning (criticizing western civ as oppressive rather than civilizing) and a collective inability to develop Systems by which people operate large organizations by loyalty, empirical evidence, and rules (law, legislation, regulation, and procedure), and that this is the reason jews accomplished nothing before europeans (apparently foolishly) granted opportunity to integrate during the industrial revolution.

Even so, (Ashkenazi) jews, using the technique Eric is using, of "false-promise, baiting into hazard, with pilpul and critique", invented the pseudosciences of undermining (the anti-darwinian, female reproductive strategy, female means of undermining in the absence of creativity) by Boas (anthropology), Freud (psychology), Marx (economics and sociology), Adorno-Fromm Neo-Marxism("cultural marxism") Culture, and Aesthetics, Friedan's anti male anti western feminism. Rand/Rothbard's Denial of demand for the state to suppress the spectrum of parasitism, and their anti rule of law and anti-statism, Derrida's Postmodernism (denial of truth, social construction) and Denial of Darwinian Differences (HBD-Denialism we call political correctness), Immigration, and Multiculturalism, and Financialization - all of which were achieved by social construction (propaganda), pseudoscience, sophistry (pilpul), undermining (critique),

that destroyed the system of rules that made european civilization possible: 1 a) the militarization of the polity where in all people have status and merit regardless of economic status if they do their duty, b) all people must demonstrate buy in via participation in the military defense, c) causing them to demonstrate ownership and investment in the polity and therefore to defend it. c) adjudicating their differences by sovereignty and reciprocity of tort (property) - all that is possible between sovereigns, producing markets in all aspects of life at the cost of suppressing the reproduction of those unable or unwilling or untrained to compete in that market on the terms of that market: the natural law of reciprocity. d) and devoting the surpluses to the production of commons - commons that no other civilization managed to produce. And which are the reason the west can form large voluntary organizations: military > political > social > economic > familial - and other civilizations can't. (or at least couldn't).

I thought I'd use a few minutes to explain the strategy, paradigm, and logic they are using in the discussion, to illustrate the difference between european and ashkenazi thought, and jewish thought in general, and in doing so explain not only the crisis of the current age, but the crises of the past, from the roman fall to the wars of religion, to the world wars, to our present crisis
... as europeans sought to re-harmonize the sense-making in their traditional law, military order, and group

strategy within that law and military order, with the various literary, philosophical, and theological, attempts to undermine that strategy - and why.

JEWS COMING INTO ADULTHOOD

Listening to Eric and David we see ...

... the first generation of ashkenazi jews are experiencing what every child does when he or she realizes their parents weren't so dim after all - and that they were right. But they're afraid to admit it. and afraid to say so. and afraid to thank their parents. In this case, because the Ashkenazi are a parasitic people dependent upon host populations for defense, commons, markets, and resources - those parents are European aristocracy and their military, legal, and empirical sense making.

Every since the European restoration of sense making by the reintroduction of Aristotle, (...UNDONE...)

Like the French who begin the counter-enlightenment against the Anglo restoration of empiricism, followed by the Germans, Russians, Chinese, Hindus, and Muslims ...
... The Ashkenazi are just the most recent group that has gone through the counter-revolution against European sense-making (consisting of realism, naturalism, operationalism, testimonial truth and reciprocity producing competitive-adversarial markets or duels in every aspect of life), by the Ashkenazi doubling down on their use of baiting into hazard (seduction), pilpul(sophistry), critique(undermining), social

construction (propaganda), out-group parasitism, and in-group socialism - this is the only group managing to construct their competitive strategy on evolutionary strategy of the human female against the human males.

The difference is that the ashkenazi had their internal reaction outward toward hosts - as their strategy would predict - instead of inward, and they failed to reform, and instead used their expertise in pilpul, critique and social construction to destroy Germany, Russia, the USA, and now Europe from within, just as females undermine one another or dominant males from within.

The ashkenazi destroyed sense-making across Eurasia because they never needed to pay the price of not doing so - they could blame others for failing to adapt to the jewish strategy.

But If all jews had returned to Israel so that they had to pay the price of failing to adapt to reality, which requires that if you desire european quality of life you must also adopt realism, naturalism, operationalism, testimony, reciprocity, markets, and limiting reproduction to those who succeed in those markets, instead of a socially constructed alternate reality that cannot survive without a host polity providing the european model of thought.

And the same is true of african Americans. And the same is true of hispanic Americans. and the same is true of muslim Americans ...

... all people double down on their strategy - because instead of forcing integration into realism, naturalism, operationalism, testimonial truth, reciprocity, markets, and limiting reproduction to those who succeed in those markets....

...we allowed the the postwar jewish thought leadership to migrate from Eastern Europe, The Soviet Union, and Germany - especially the German jews to Columbia university in NY - to repeat their strategy of undermining host peoples, by using, temporary postwar prosperity, the gullibility of the first generation of families off the farm or into home ownership, the new media, the academy, the flooding of the academy with pseudoscience and sophistry, and the institution of democracy which is only useful for choosing priorities in a homogenous society instead to undermine integration and thereby create frictions only resolvable by authority,

...then to use the african underclass, as the soviets had used Russian peasants, as an excuse to provide the jews with justification for non-integration themselves, and to relocate the african Americans from farm communities - interrupting their development of norms, traditions, and a middle class, - and middle class thought leadership, resulting in the destruction of the african family, and the african american sub-civilization, and destruction of american cities, by loading them with hostiles to stack the democratic deck, just as the Russians had relocated peasants to Eastern Europe to undermine european culture,

... and they got away with this organized crime by shaming high trust european Cristian majority into overextending our christian charity just as we, the romans, and the greeks, overextended our empires - - they shamed us by using a false promise baiting our people into hazard - the group strategy of females we are most vulnerable to.

And we - meaning europeans who had conquered, founded, built, and settled, and built this country - because of our tradition of sovereignty, market meritocracy, noblesse oblig  and christian charity - tolerated this heresy against our sense-making under the false presumption that these less domesticated 'people would mature and learn'.

But They didn't. They don't. They never do. They can't. People never abandon their sense making unless they abandon the strategy that sense making evolved to persist.

And european sense making - the sense making that dragged mankind out of ignorance poverty and suffering in the ancient and modern worlds - is intolerable to the jewish, muslim, hispanic, most catholic, some Russian, most hindu, all Chinese - intolerable to and all but Japanese and Korean peoples - who appear to be our only equally domesticated peers. And who do not seek to come to the west because of their equal domestication - they have little need to.

All non-european immigrants that migrate to the west are demonstrated evidence of failures of self domestication, and the failure of the people's sense making and the failure of the strategy that they persist with their sense making.

Yet all peoples who migrate from less domesticated less successful civilizations resist adopting western sense making. That is - they only adopt western sense making if they are capable of it or forced by mandatory integration into it. Which means if they are from the upper middle classes of professionals for whom adversarial markets serve their interests, they can somewhat integrate since education worldwide in empirical reasoning is de facto indoctrination into european sense making.

So, Diversity always and everywhere creates demand for authority -and authority creates stagnation and maladaptation -

... except in the two circumstances of a) modernization of a backward people, or b) warfare to defend them. In other words, when the market doesn't need to calculate opportunities because the threats are obvious and efforts must be temporarily directed to defense or progression.

The Chinese succeed at long term expansion because they are severely intolerant and pessimistic. The Europeans succeed at rapid change because given our history as homogenous peoples, we are irrationally tolerant and optimistic. When instead, the optimum

strategy is Chinese demand for conformity in pursuit of harmony on one end, and European rule of law, and sense making on the other.

In future episodes of The Choice, I'll cover the spectrum of sense making - what I'll call grammars - as paradigms, vocabularies, and logics of group strategies. Knowledge of the grammars is to psychology and social science as the periodic table of elements is to chemistry - and equally as explanatory.

So... (people double down...)

====

Universal sense making is possible at the cost of universal adoption of realism, naturalism, operationalism, testimonial truth before face regardless of cost to self or others, reciprocity regardless of cost to self, and limiting one's reproduction to those who can pay for it by success in markets regardless of cost, so that the proceeds of our market success can be devoted to the production of returns on capital investment in sustainable commons.

This is the answer to the great question of history: the world has reacted against the indo-european expansion, and the Chinese expansion, because that cost to self is impossible, because of heterogeneity of populations outside of the two insular homogenous peoples: europeans and east asians. That is history. Everything

else in history depends upon this underlying conflict.

The 19th and 20th century spectrum of ashkenazi pseudosciences

all share in common that they are revolts against the european sense making because they are revolts against the male eugenic strategy, which in turn is hostile to the female dysgenic strategy, and as such are a counter-revolution against darwin and darwin's explanation for the successes of european and east asian civilizations,

... and they illustrate the failure of every other civilization to fully NATIONALIZE so that people are willing to pay the cost of suppression of reproduction of those outside the market, and the market's continuation of natural selection, and natural selection as the continued domestication and transcendence of man by the most human means possible, subject to the least external choice.

In other words, only NATIONS have the genetic interest to self domesticate. Globalist's don't. And as such they are a threat to mankind.

If the end of history, can exist - that is it.

Domestication. Eugenics. Transcendence.

(pause)

NOW I'M GOING TO PUT A POINT ON IT.

European civilization, when practicing our group strategy, a strategy embedded in our traditional law and military tradition, was not first, we were on the edge of the Bronze Age - but we evolved and continue to evolve faster than the rest of mankind because quite by accident we discovered the fastest means of adaptation available to man: a universal militia where status (respect) is available and earned by fulfillment of duty regardless of station in the hierarchy; where every man in that hierarchy is sovereign before the law and jury his peers; where disputes are resolved by the only means possible between sovereigns: reciprocity; and as a result markets in all aspects of life. Including the market for freedom, liberty, citizenship, and government by demonstration of loyalty to the group by success in this market. Under this social order, no man is constrained by experimenting with innovation - it's heroic. And the first time a crime of failure in experimenting is brought before a court, it is suppressed by the court. It requires no authority or approval. And society continuously organically adapts to both to identify and distribute beneficial opportunities, and to suppress harmful opportunities. And those that 'cannot keep up' are wards of the citizens responsible for them. Whether parent, clan, or priesthood.

The west doesn't need to be first. Because it will always be fastest. And as fastest will always have competitive advantage. And always having competitive advantage, will have more prosperity per person. Particularly because

surpluses can be directed to the production of commons rather than the increase in numbers of dependents upon the productive.

This advantage is paid for by the cost of truth before face, Reciprocity, and Nationalism under which the sacrifice of reproduction is null if one is cared for in return because one's kin persist one's bloodlines (genes) successfully.

Despite European civilization's success in just a few centuries in the ancient and modern worlds, by dragging mankind kicking and screaming out of superstition, ignorance, hard labor, poverty, starvation, disease, suffering, early death and the vicissitudes of a nature all but hostile to our existence, and giving us a window of just over ten thousand years of respite ...

... The academy is inundated by anti-european sense making that inhibits every single discipline including mathematical physics. But more so economics, and the social sciences, which are most affected by the ashkenazi pseudoscientific revolution against european group strategy and it's eugenics by using pilpul and critique, just as they were affected by the jewish supernatural revolution in the ancient world revolt against the greco roman domestication of man, by using pilpul (sophistry) and critique (undermining). And like the old world's false promise by sophistry and supernaturalism, these were sold to the most susceptible to the female strategy of baiting into hazard, false promise, using sophistry and

undermining: women, slaves, and the underclasses who were being domesticated. In the present era the academy is financed by false promises of freedom from physical, natural, market, and evolutionary laws under the pretense that the windfall of the restoration of european sense making in the scientific revolution would lead to perpetual growth like technological a garden of eden - when instead it has led to uncontrolled growth like a cancer that kills the european sense making host.

It doesn't occur to Eric, that despite his genetic composition, his intuition for the feminine strategy, his cultural training in applying the female strategy, and his indoctrination into a culture dependent upon it, that the reason he makes fewer jewish errors, than did Cantor, Bohr and Einstein, is that his study of geometry did for him what Descartes did for Europe, which was restore mathematical thought from platonism to operations, and return it from it's failure in platonism where: words are descriptive but they are not causal. Mathematics is by its nature descriptive, not causal and so we must choose whether to use it to describe the causal or the results.

One can practice mathematics as language and verbal logic, or one can practice it as geometry and measurement as in engineering. Eric's intuition is an attempt - one I share - to re-europeanize the judeification of mathematics by cantor, Einstein, Bohr, and the host of people that undermined the foundations of mathematics with set logic of language instead of the operational logic of measurement. A warning issued by Lorentz, Poincare,

Hilbert, Brouwer and Bridgman, and restored by those in computer science, not mathematics. A difference best illustrated by the contrast between Cantor's infinities and Babbage's computer. For Cantor's imaginary verbalism, multiple infinities exist and are of different sizes. For Babbage's existential measurement, different gears produce pairing off at different rates. So there are no multiple infinities. There is but one concept of infinity, meaning 'we don't know the limit, but there are no possible unlimited operations', and pairing off using different ratio-operations produces result sets at different rates. In other words, Cantor platonized mathematics by removing the dimension of time.

AN EVEN FINER POINT

To put a finer point on the assault on European sense making,

At the moment, the physics community is working with a series of ideas, including loops, spinners, twistors, strings - variations on the wave form, without solving the underlying question of what geometry would produce such twists, spins, and vibrations, and allow such waves - instead of asking the more obvious question: given that the human brain, three dimensional simulation games, proteins, molecules, and the elements are forced to use the most primitive geometry, why wouldn't the structure of the universe rely on the same primitive geometry? In other words, why aren't waves the result of an underlying geometry - well - the academy can't go there because

the gated institutional narrative due to the history of aether theories, and the history of unified field theories (as Eric has discussed) the subject is a supernatural taboo in the business. ... Which seems rather odd when if anything, magical fields and infinite space would be the supernatural outlier among all other theories in science.

One could easily argue that the study of waveforms rather than what might produced them has wasted most of the past century, and that as Hayek predicted and I tend to observe, the twentieth in all but a few disciplines was a return to what he called mysticism, what I would call pseudoscience and sophistry, and what contemporaries would call woo woo, and what Weinstein calls a

Also see a very obvious difference between say, Gerhardt Thooft, and Roger Penrose and the younger generation that has all but lost european sense making because of the collapse of european sense making in the academy.

Why is that? Europeans testify to observables and jews tell stories that convey meaning. And this is what our cultures specialize in. The difference is that when put to work in competition europeans engineer and war, destroying the physical commons, and jews propagandize and undermine. - destroying the informational and social commons. Europeans might very well be military super-predators - the male extreme - that practices predation. But jews are the female extreme, the social and informational super predator that practices parasitism

At present we can't obtain the information, either by Experimentation, observation, deduction, inference, or pure guesswork, and we have been working for a century with this top down method of investigation of the physical world - and at least at current energy levels we appear to be at the limit of our investigation, into the causes of wave forms.

The next step in the evolution mathematics, which I assume Eric senses in most sophisticated form as symmetries in math economics and physics is the same one we see as generations of combinations of the physical world, from particles, to atoms, to molecules, to proteins, and we see mathematically in the work of Conway, Mandelbrot, Wolfram and other - but likewise, is going nowhere.

Instead, discovery today appears to be proceeding not in math or physics, where geometric combinatorics would provide insight, but in biology by research on protein and RNA foldings, protein transitions, and - which are mechanical - precisely because of this continued platonization in mathematics: that the foundations of the universe are not computable by points which of necessity represent aggregates - we need the underlying geometry, and it certainly appears only calculable as geometries.

If you haven't ever seen a child's snake puzzle, it consists of a series of tetrahedrons that can only rotate on so many axes, forming so many shapes. This is the real

world example of a geometry that would produce spinners, This toy remains the most likely analogy for the unified field - meaning background - that we model with waves, spinners,

So until we solve that question of the next mathematics of geometries, by computational trial and error, we will not be able to represent it as descriptions with symbols. I don't know if math as we understand it, as human calculable ends there, limiting us to mechanically computable. I just don't know.

My subtle point here is that even people indoctrinated into hostile forms of sense making, the paradigms and logics within them, and the groups strategies that their sense making persists, can be converted by first pointing out the criminality of there group strategy, the means of sense making that they use to conduct their organized crimes, that they may be intentionally participating in organized crime, or they may be carriers of intellectually infectious disease that destroy sense making just as opiates destroy the brain's ability to regulate emotions. And that people can be trained to adapt to and integrate into european sense making the just as surely as we can teach reading, writing, arithmetic, and for that matter, the logic of the operational, natural law of reciprocity, programming and geometry.

And that we are tolerating organized crime against our informational commons, our normative and institutional commons, our civilization and even our genetic commons

if we don't.

REFORMING THE ACADEMY, PUBLIC INTELLECTUALS, THE MEDIA, AND THE GUERRILLA MEDIA ON THE WEB.

Reforming the academy - all pontification - is as easy as reforming the rest of our civilization: and all that reform requires is that we restore european sense making.

Restoring european sense-making requires :

- we restore truthful speech to the informational commons we depend upon.
- we criminalize the suppression of truth by female undermining using gossiping, shaming, shouting, down...
- we criminalize baiting into hazard with false promise.

We can restore truthful speech, the compromise markets between genders classes and generations: ...

- enforce perjury before the court with a vengeance.
- restore libel and slander and liability for harms
- and extend the prohibition on false promise in commercial speech to political speech,
- and criminalize false promise, baiting into hazard, using the fictionalisms of sophistry-idealism, divination-pseudomathematics, magic-pseudoscience, and occult-supernaturalism from all speech in public, to the public in matters public,
- and criminalize voluntary alienation - meaning failure of responsibility - for defense of the informational commons

upon which all our sense making depends.

- enumerate rather than imply our group strategy in the constitution

- complete the enumeration of our rights and responsibilities in the constitution under that group strategy.

- enumerate rather than imply the means of jurisprudence in the constitution.

- and require strict construction of law from first principles stating original intent,

- and deprive the court of legislation from the bench by returning the undecidable to the legislature.

My work, my movement's work, is reducible to the restoration of European sense making and with it the restoration of truth in the informational commons, elimination of fraud from legislation by restoration of empiricism in government, the de politicization of the polity because of it, the de financialization of the economy that preys upon our people, causing our decline in reproduction among our most talented; Then the restoration of the intergenerational family, the civil society, and rewards of the continued value of european civilization to mankind in the transcendence of man from domesticated animal to the gods we imagine.

What we do with that is up to us.

But at least the european men among us won't go as quietly into the night as did our roman ancestors - we

have had one dark age brought upon us by mass migration and middle eastern false promise. And we will not tolerate another.

Fool us once with the fraud of false promise shame on you. Fool us again with the fraud of false promise -shame on us.

And we're tired of being shamed for having dragged mankind out... and the thanklessness of others for having done so, when we could have just as easily eradicated, enslave, enserfed, them as the jewish russians did to the Russian people.

And we are coming very close to having the bloodiest civil and revolutionary war in human history because of the ashkenazi destruction of european sense making - the same assault on sense making that destroyed the ancient worlds, destroyed Germany, Russia, and now us.

CLOSING

Please expect a few rather obvious habits in this podcast.

First: I use a lot of terms you might only be familiar with if you've studied mathematics, the philosophy of science, philosophy, economics, law, and history.

Second: I use a lot of serializations: lists of terms. Using lists prevents the problem of ideal types, platonism, conflation and sophistry that results. It's helpful if these

series of terms are on-screen. perhaps others in the movement will add video to this podcast. I don't have time.

Third: most people suggest that you need to listen or read my work a few times to understand all of it. This is because I weave together ideas so the competition between them prevents the errors of generalization, idealization, and ideal typing that we are all vulnerable to.

Thanks for listening.